Opus

• General update

• Conceptual framework for thinking about access and appropriate use issues

• Use cases

• Open discussion
High Level Design

Opus Major Functional Components

- Common Import/Export Interface for Data Integration
- Faculty CV Profile Tool
- Academic Review and Business Workflow
- Reporting and Decision Support
### Reporting/Decision Support Component

**Current Progress**

#### Technical Work

- Collecting use cases of reports generated to meet business needs.
- Investigating open source products and platforms available to create reporting tools.
- Evaluate LEAD and FAST systems. Work with PATH data warehouse team to determine functional overlap and options.

#### Policy Work

- Dialogues with Privacy Board and campus counsel to discuss access to faculty data.
- Reviewed applicable policies across UC’s and other tier one research institutions.
- Drafting Terms of Access/Usage document with Campus Counsel and Steering Committee.
Next Actions / Timeline

1. • Finalize Business Requirements
   • Present to IT Policy Board, Privacy Board, and Common Systems Group in **October - November**

2. • Present update and funding request to CITI for design completion **November, 2012**

3. • More detailed analysis of development effort for final architecture of Opus. Present final plan and to Sponsors by **End of 2012**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Individual Information</strong></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Info that is already publicly available</td>
<td>Info that is not already publicly available but not protected as private</td>
<td>Info that is protected as private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Default Yes display (e.g., title, pubs)</td>
<td>Default No Display (e.g., salary)</td>
<td>Default Yes display (e.g., research interests)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Default Yes display (e.g., salary)</td>
<td>Default No display (anything?)</td>
<td>Default No display (e.g., employee ID)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Aggregate Information (non-identifiable)</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canned reports (e.g., those already required by UCLA, UC, government, accrediting bodies, and within scope of original requirements)</td>
<td>Ad Hoc queries (Should we establish a governance and/or grievance process to address concerns and determine appropriateness of queries?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Records Act would apply</td>
<td>Does the ease of responding to a Public Records Act request impact the way we fulfill it?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion